# Judicial Salaries 

The Survey of Judicial Salaries, published for nearly 30 years by the National Center for State Courts (NCSC) with the support of state court administrative offices across the United States, serves as the primary record of compensation for state judicial officers and state court administrators.

This issue of the Survey of Judicial Salaries reports salary data as of January 1, 2012. This cut-off date is important because states implement salary changes at various points during the year. However, a standard and unchanging cutoff date must be established to publish salary data in a timely and predictable fashion. Various tables and graphics show the number of states with salary increases, salary rankings across states, and the impact of cost-of-living indices on judicial salaries.

Beginning in 2009, only a handful of states have reported judicial salary increases.

## Number of States Reporting Salary Increases

Courts of Last Resort


General-Jurisdiction Trial Courts


Intermediate Appellate Courts




Through January 1, 2012, the average annual percent increase in salaries for the courts of last resort, the intermediate appellate courts, and generaljurisdiction judges was close to zero, only $0.63 \%$, on average, across all states. This is nearly the same percentage increase seen in calendar year 2010. In addition, the number of states that increased salaries was very low by historical standards. For courts of last resort, only 10 states increased salaries in calendar year 2011; for intermediate appellate courts only 5 states; and for general-jurisdiction judges, just 9 states. For the state court administrators, the percent increase in salaries during 2011 was $.94 \%$, nearly the same as the 2010 increase. Twelve states increased salaries for state court administrators during 2011. The bar charts here summarize the number of states increasing judicial salaries over the past five years.

Note: This online version is the definitive version of the Survey of Judicial Salaries, Vol. 37 No. 1

## Judicial Salaries at a Glance

The average annual percent change for the four judicial positions, and the state court administrators analyzed by the Survey, is $.55 \%$ for 2011. As indicated in the table below, this increase is far less than the pre-recession (2003-2007) average increase of $3.24 \%$. The lower 2008/2009 average increase of $1.67 \%$ was not unexpected as the nation's economy, and by extension government revenues, was mired in the vast economic recession. The 2011 average increase of $.55 \%$ continues the downward trend. The ongoing impact of the sluggish economic recovery on tax revenue and on state budgets is anticipated to level off or possibly get worse before substantial improvement is seen. The following table summarizes current salaries for the major judicial positions.

|  | Mean | Median | Range |  | 2003-07 | 2008-09 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief, Highest Court | \$157,759 | \$152,500 | \$115,160 to \$228,856 |  | 3.19\% | 1.58\% | 0.67\% |
| Associate Justice, Court of Last Resort | \$152,606 | \$146,917 | \$112,530 to \$218,237 |  | 3.21\% | 1.88\% | 0.64\% |
| Judge, Intermediate Appellate Courts | \$146,887 | \$140,732 | \$105,050 to \$204,599 |  | 3.20\% | 1.60\% | 0.36\% |
| Judge, General-Jurisdiction Trial Courts | \$137,151 | \$132,500 | \$104,170 to \$180,802 |  | 3.30\% | 1.91\% | 0.58\% |
| State Court Administrators | \$136,547 | \$130,410 | \$89,960 to \$211,272 |  | 3.30\% | 1.38\% | 0.89\% |
|  |  |  |  | Average | 3.24\% | 1.67\% | 0.63\% |

## Salaries and Rankings for Appellate and General-Jurisdiction Judges - Listed Alphabetically by State Name

The table below lists the salaries and rankings for associate justices of the courts of last resort, associate judges of intermediate appellate courts, and judges of general-jurisdiction trial courts (actual salaries and cost-of-living-adjusted salaries) as of January 1, 2012. Where possible, the salary figures are actual salaries. In jurisdictions where some judges receive supplements, the figures are the most representative available-either the base salary, the midpoint of a range between the lowest and highest supplemented salaries, or the median. Salaries are ranked from highest to lowest, with the highest salary for each position having a rank of "1." The lowest salary has a rank of " 51 " except for intermediate appellate courts, which exist in only 39 states. The mean, median, and salary range for each of the positions are also shown.

|  | Highest Court |  |  | Intermediate Appellate Court |  | Salary | Rank | Adjusted for Cost of Living |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Adjustment | Adjusted |  |  | Adjusted |
|  | Salary | Rank |  |  |  | Salary |  | Rank | Factor | Salary | Rank |
| Alabama | \$180,005 | 9 |  | \$178,878 | 5 |  | \$134,943 | 25 | 93.05 | \$145,015 | 13 |
| Alaska | \$192,372 | 4 |  | \$181,752 | 4 | \$177,888 | 4 | 133.68 | \$133,068 | 24 |
| Arizona | \$155,000 | 20 |  | \$150,000 | 14 | \$145,000 | 15 | 102.99 | \$140,784 | 18 |
| Arkansas | \$145,204 | 30 |  | \$140,732 | 20 | \$136,257 | 23 | 90.15 | \$151,141 | 8 |
| California | \$218,237 | 1 |  | \$204,599 | 1 | \$178,789 | 2 | 130.03 | \$137,503 | 20 |
| Colorado | \$139,660 | 34 |  | \$134,128 | 28 | \$128,598 | 33 | 101.46 | \$126,749 | 33 |
| Connecticut | \$162,520 | 17 |  | \$152,637 | 11 | \$146,780 | 14 | 133.11 | \$110,271 | 45 |
| Delaware | \$188,751 | 5 |  |  |  | \$178,449 | 3 | 105.65 | \$168,913 | 3 |
| District of Columbia | \$184,500 | 7 |  |  |  | \$174,000 | 5 | 143.50 | \$121,251 | 36 |
| Florida | \$157,976 | 19 |  | \$150,077 | 13 | \$142,178 | 16 | 97.68 | \$145,555 | 11 |
| Georgia | \$167,210 | 12 |  | \$166,186 | 8 | \$149,873 | 11 | 94.59 | \$158,439 | 7 |
| Hawaii | \$151,118 | 23 |  | \$139,924 | 21 | \$136,127 | 24 | 168.02 | \$81,018 | 51 |
| Idaho | \$119,506 | 48 |  | \$118,506 | 37 | \$112,043 | 47 | 92.63 | \$120,955 | 37 |
| Illinois | \$209,344 | 2 |  | \$197,032 | 2 | \$180,802 | 1 | 95.07 | \$190,171 | 1 |
| Indiana | \$151,328 | 21 |  | \$147,103 | 17 | \$125,647 | 35 | 92.25 | \$136,200 | 21 |
| lowa | \$163,200 | 16 |  | \$147,900 | 16 | \$137,700 | 21 | 95.26 | \$144,548 | 16 |
| Kansas | \$135,905 | 40 |  | \$131,518 | 32 | \$120,037 | 43 | 93.06 | \$128,987 | 29 |
| Kentucky | \$135,504 | 41 |  | \$130,044 | 34 | \$124,620 | 37 | 91.53 | \$136,147 | 22 |
| Louisiana | \$150,772 | 24 |  | \$143,647 | 19 | \$137,744 | 20 | 95.11 | \$144,823 | 14 |
| Maine | \$119,476 | 49 |  |  |  | \$111,969 | 48 | 113.07 | \$99,023 | 50 |
| Maryland | \$162,352 | 18 |  | \$149,552 | 15 | \$140,352 | 17 | 124.17 | \$113,037 | 41 |
| Massachusetts | \$145,984 | 27 |  | \$135,087 | 27 | \$129,694 | 30 | 122.18 | \$106,153 | 47 |
| Michigan | \$164,610 | 14 |  | \$151,441 | 12 | \$139,919 | 18 | 92.89 | \$150,628 | 9 |
| Minnesota | \$145,981 | 28 |  | \$137,552 | 23 | \$129,124 | 31 | 103.33 | \$124,966 | 34 |
| Mississippi | \$112,530 | 51 |  | \$105,050 | 39 | \$104,170 | 51 | 92.63 | \$112,457 | 42 |
| Missouri | \$137,034 | 38 |  | \$128,207 | 35 | \$120,484 | 42 | 93.18 | \$129,302 | 27 |
| Montana | \$121,434 | 47 |  |  |  | \$113,928 | 46 | 100.10 | \$113,810 | 40 |
| Nebraska | \$142,760 | 32 |  | \$135,622 | 26 | \$132,053 | 28 | 92.77 | \$142,340 | 17 |
| Nevada | \$170,000 | 10 |  |  |  | \$160,000 | 8 | 97.16 | \$164,674 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | \$146,917 | 26 |  |  |  | \$137,804 | 19 | 119.93 | \$114,906 | 39 |
| New Jersey | \$185,482 | 6 |  | \$175,534 | 6 | \$165,000 | 7 | 129.71 | \$127,206 | 32 |
| New Mexico | \$123,691 | 46 |  | \$117,506 | 38 | \$111,631 | 49 | 99.33 | \$112,383 | 43 |
| New York | \$151,200 | 22 |  | \$144,000 | 18 | \$136,700 | 22 | 130.03 | \$105,131 | 48 |
| North Carolina | \$137,249 | 36 |  | \$131,531 | 31 | \$124,382 | 38 | 96.78 | \$128,517 | 30 |
| North Dakota | \$134,135 | 42 |  |  |  | \$119,330 | 44 | 97.03 | \$122,978 | 35 |
| Ohio | \$141,600 | 33 |  | \$132,000 | 30 | \$121,350 | 41 | 93.93 | \$129,198 | 28 |
| Oklahoma | \$137,655 | 35 |  | \$130,410 | 33 | \$124,373 | 39 | 90.42 | \$137,550 | 19 |
| Oregon | \$125,688 | 45 |  | \$122,820 | 36 | \$114,468 | 45 | 106.85 | \$107,130 | 46 |
| Pennsylvania | \$195,309 | 3 |  | \$184,282 | 3 | \$169,541 | 6 | 101.85 | \$166,468 | 4 |
| Rhode Island | \$165,726 | 13 |  |  |  | \$149,207 | 12 | 125.74 | \$118,660 | 38 |
| South Carolina | \$137,171 | 37 |  | \$133,741 | 29 | \$130,312 | 29 | 97.76 | \$133,294 | 23 |
| South Dakota | \$118,173 | 50 |  |  |  | \$110,377 | 50 | 99.47 | \$110,968 | 44 |
| Tennessee | \$167,976 | 11 |  | \$162,396 | 9 | \$156,792 | 10 | 90.43 | \$173,391 | 2 |
| Texas | \$150,000 | 25 |  | \$137,500 | 24 | \$132,500 | 26 | 90.92 | \$145,740 | 10 |
| Utah | \$145,350 | 29 |  | \$138,750 | 22 | \$132,150 | 27 | 91.28 | \$144,777 | 15 |
| Vermont | \$129,245 | 44 |  |  |  | \$122,867 | 40 | 122.15 | \$100,588 | 49 |
| Virginia | \$183,839 | 8 |  | \$168,322 | 7 | \$158,134 | 9 | 96.83 | \$163,309 | 6 |
| Washington | \$164,221 | 15 |  | \$156,328 | 10 | \$148,832 | 13 | 102.56 | \$145,118 | 12 |
| West Virginia | \$136,000 | 39 |  |  |  | \$126,000 | 34 | 96.32 | \$130,809 | 26 |
| Wisconsin | \$144,495 | 31 |  | \$136,316 | 25 | \$128,600 | 32 | 97.29 | \$132,186 | 25 |
| Wyoming | \$131,500 | 43 |  |  |  | \$125,200 | 36 | 98.38 | \$127,259 | 31 |
| Mean | \$152,606 |  |  | \$146,887 |  | \$137,151 |  |  |  |  |
| Median | \$146,917 |  |  | \$140,732 |  | \$132,500 |  |  |  |  |
| Range \$112,530 | to \$218,237 |  | \$105,050 | to \$204,599 |  | \$180,802 |  |  |  |  |

## Using the ACCRA Cost-of-Living Index

The Council for Community and Economic Research—C2ER (formerly the ACCRA organization)—is the most widely accepted U.S. source for cost-of-living indices, with nearly 400 reporting jurisdictions across America. The cost-of-living indices used in this report were developed by examining the average costs of goods and services for the latest four running fiscal quarters. The factors reflect an average of the reporting jurisdictions in a particular state (i.e., the cost-of-living index for Virginia is the average of the cost-of-living indices for each reporting jurisdiction in Virginia). More detailed information can be found at www.accra.org or www.c2er.org.

## Salaries and Rankings for Appellate and General-Jurisdiction Judges - Listed in Order of State Rank

The tables below list the salaries for associate justices of the courts of last resort, associate judges of intermediate appellate courts, and judges of general-jurisdiction trial courts (actual salaries and cost-of-living-adjusted salaries) as of January 1, 2012. Where possible, the salary figures are actual salaries. In jurisdictions where some judges receive supplements, the figures are the most representative available-either the base salary, the midpoint of a range between the lowest and highest supplemented salaries, or the median. The listings are in rank order from highest to lowest salary. The mean, median, and salary range for each of the positions are also shown.

| Highest Court |  | Intermediate Appellate Court |  | General-Jurisdiction Trial Court |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Salary | Adjusted for Cost of Living |  |
| California | \$218,237 |  |  | California | \$204,599 | Illinois | \$180,802 | Illinois | \$190,171 |
| Illinois | \$209,344 | Illinois | \$197,032 | California | \$178,789 | Tennessee | \$173,391 |
| Pennsylvania | \$195,309 | Pennsylvania | \$184,282 | Delaware | \$178,449 | Delaware | \$168,913 |
| Alaska | \$192,372 | Alaska | \$181,752 | Alaska | \$177,888 | Pennsylvania | \$166,468 |
| Delaware | \$188,751 | Alabama | \$178,878 | District of Columbia | \$174,000 | Nevada | \$164,674 |
| New Jersey | \$185,482 | New Jersey | \$175,534 | Pennsylvania | \$169,541 | Virginia | \$163,309 |
| District of Columbia | \$184,500 | Virginia | \$168,322 | New Jersey | \$165,000 | Georgia | \$158,439 |
| Virginia | \$183,839 | Georgia | \$166,186 | Nevada | \$160,000 | Arkansas | \$151,141 |
| Alabama | \$180,005 | Tennessee | \$162,396 | Virginia | \$158,134 | Michigan | \$150,628 |
| Nevada | \$170,000 | Washington | \$156,328 | Tennessee | \$156,792 | Texas | \$145,740 |
| Tennessee | \$167,976 | Connecticut | \$152,637 | Georgia | \$149,873 | Florida | \$145,555 |
| Georgia | \$167,210 | Michigan | \$151,441 | Rhode Island | \$149,207 | Washington | \$145,118 |
| Rhode Island | \$165,726 | Florida | \$150,077 | Washington | \$148,832 | Alabama | \$145,015 |
| Michigan | \$164,610 | Arizona | \$150,000 | Connecticut | \$146,780 | Louisiana | \$144,823 |
| Washington | \$164,221 | Maryland | \$149,552 | Arizona | \$145,000 | Utah | \$144,777 |
| lowa | \$163,200 | lowa | \$147,900 | Florida | \$142,178 | Iowa | \$144,548 |
| Connecticut | \$162,520 | Indiana | \$147,103 | Maryland | \$140,352 | Nebraska | \$142,340 |
| Maryland | \$162,352 | New York | \$144,000 | Michigan | \$139,919 | Arizona | \$140,784 |
| Florida | \$157,976 | Louisiana | \$143,647 | New Hampshire | \$137,804 | Oklahoma | \$137,550 |
| Arizona | \$155,000 | Arkansas | \$140,732 | Louisiana | \$137,744 | California | \$137,503 |
| Indiana | \$151,328 | Hawaii | \$139,924 | lowa | \$137,700 | Indiana | \$136,200 |
| New York | \$151,200 | Utah | \$138,750 | New York | \$136,700 | Kentucky | \$136,147 |
| Hawaii | \$151,118 | Minnesota | \$137,552 | Arkansas | \$136,257 | South Carolina | \$133,294 |
| Louisiana | \$150,772 | Texas | \$137,500 | Hawaii | \$136,127 | Alaska | \$133,068 |
| Texas | \$150,000 | Wisconsin | \$136,316 | Alabama | \$134,943 | Wisconsin | \$132,186 |
| New Hampshire | \$146,917 | Nebraska | \$135,622 | Texas | \$132,500 | West Virginia | \$130,809 |
| Massachusetts | \$145,984 | Massachusetts | \$135,087 | Utah | \$132,150 | Missouri | \$129,302 |
| Minnesota | \$145,981 | Colorado | \$134,128 | Nebraska | \$132,053 | Ohio | \$129,198 |
| Utah | \$145,350 | South Carolina | \$133,741 | South Carolina | \$130,312 | Kansas | \$128,987 |
| Arkansas | \$145,204 | Ohio | \$132,000 | Massachusetts | \$129,694 | North Carolina | \$128,517 |
| Wisconsin | \$144,495 | North Carolina | \$131,531 | Minnesota | \$129,124 | Wyoming | \$127,259 |
| Nebraska | \$142,760 | Kansas | \$131,518 | Wisconsin | \$128,600 | New Jersey | \$127,206 |
| Ohio | \$141,600 | Oklahoma | \$130,410 | Colorado | \$128,598 | Colorado | \$126,749 |
| Colorado | \$139,660 | Kentucky | \$130,044 | West Virginia | \$126,000 | Minnesota | \$124,966 |
| Oklahoma | \$137,655 | Missouri | \$128,207 | Indiana | \$125,647 | North Dakota | \$122,978 |
| North Carolina | \$137,249 | Oregon | \$122,820 | Wyoming | \$125,200 | District of Columbia | \$121,251 |
| South Carolina | \$137,171 | Idaho | \$118,506 | Kentucky | \$124,620 | Idaho | \$120,955 |
| Missouri | \$137,034 | New Mexico | \$117,506 | North Carolina | \$124,382 | Rhode Island | \$118,660 |
| West Virginia | \$136,000 | Mississippi | \$105,050 | Oklahoma | \$124,373 | New Hampshire | \$114,906 |
| Kansas | \$135,905 |  |  | Vermont | \$122,867 | Montana | \$113,810 |
| Kentucky | \$135,504 |  |  | Ohio | \$121,350 | Maryland | \$113,037 |
| North Dakota | \$134,135 |  |  | Missouri | \$120,484 | Mississippi | \$112,457 |
| Wyoming | \$131,500 |  |  | Kansas | \$120,037 | New Mexico | \$112,383 |
| Vermont | \$129,245 |  |  | North Dakota | \$119,330 | South Dakota | \$110,968 |
| Oregon | \$125,688 |  |  | Oregon | \$114,468 | Connecticut | \$110,271 |
| New Mexico | \$123,691 |  |  | Montana | \$113,928 | Oregon | \$107,130 |
| Montana | \$121,434 |  |  | Idaho | \$112,043 | Massachusetts | \$106,153 |
| Idaho | \$119,506 |  |  | Maine | \$111,969 | New York | \$105,131 |
| Maine | \$119,476 |  |  | New Mexico | \$111,631 | Vermont | \$100,588 |
| South Dakota | \$118,173 |  |  | South Dakota | \$110,377 | Maine | \$99,023 |
| Mississippi | \$112,530 |  |  | Mississippi | \$104,170 | Hawaii | \$81,018 |
| Mean | \$152,606 |  | \$146,887 |  | \$137,151 |  | \$132,461 |
| Median | \$146,917 |  | \$140,732 |  | \$132,500 |  | \$130,809 |
| Range | \$112,530 to | 237 \$105,0 | to \$204,599 | \$104,170 to | \$180,802 | \$81,018 to | \$190, 171 |

[^0]
## National Center for State Courts

The National Center for State Courts is a nonprofit organization dedicated to the modernization of court operations and the improvement of justice at the state and local levels throughout the country. It functions as an extension of the state court systems, working for them at their direction and providing for them an effective voice in matters of national importance.

The National Center acts as a focal point for state judicial reform and provides the means for reinvesting in the all states the profits gained from judicial advances in any state. Funding for this Survey is made possible by assessments from all the states and territories and by individual contributions.

Points of view are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Center for State Courts. If you have questions or comments regarding this Survey, contact the National Center for State Courts, Knowledge and Information Services, 300 Newport Avenue, Williamsburg, VA 23185, (800) 6166164, fax (757) 564-2075.
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## JUDICIAL SALARYTRACKER

> Introducing an interactive interface that presents judicial salary data in easily understood visual displays.
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